

**COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA FISH AND BOAT COMMISSION**

**MINUTES FOR
THE BOATING ADVISORY BOARD MEETING**

**August 22, 2005
9:00 a.m.
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania**

.....
**John F. Simmons
Director
Bureau of Boating and Education**

**The Thirtieth meeting of the
Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission's Boating Advisory Board
was held at the Commission's Headquarters
at 9:00 a.m. on Monday, August 22, 2005.**

Members

Rex Beers
Steven Ketterer
Michael Lentine
G. Edwin Matheny
Thaddeus Piotrowski

Members Ex Officio

Dr. Douglas J. Austen, Executive Director
John F. Simmons, Secretary
John “Pete” Houghton for Michael DiBerardinis, Secretary for the
Department of Conservation and Natural Resources

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page No. |
|---|-----------------|
| I. Roll Call and Introduction of Guests | 1 |
| II. Approval of Minutes: January 10, 2005 | 1 |
| III. Committee Reports | |
| A. Slow No Wake Workgroup | 1 |
| IV. Old Business | |
| A. Amend Section 103.3 (a) to eliminate the general requirement to operate boats in a counterclockwise manner on all waters of the Commonwealth..... | 2 |
| B. Amendment to Chapter 111 (Special Regulations Counties) to modify regulations limiting the number of water skiers that can legally be towed behind boats on certain waters. | 2 |
| V. New Business | |
| A. Consideration of modifications to Section 95.3 (d) lights for rowing and paddle boats..... | 5 |
| VI. Discussion Items | |
| A. Summary of Boating Accident Reports to date..... | 5 |
| B. Role of the Boating Advisory Board in the Boating Facility Grant Program. | 5 |
| C. Relationship between the Board and the Commission – what do each expect?..... | 6 |
| D. Review of the Boating Advisory Board Handbook | 6 |
| E. New Signs | 6 |

I. Roll Call and Introduction of Guests

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m. Roll was called and all members of the Board were in attendance. A quorum was present. Pete Houghton, a newly appointed Board member representing DCNR, was introduced. The following guests were present: Robert Wecker, USCG Auxiliary; Shelly Beers, PA Boaters Association; Melody Zullinger, Ex. Dir. of Federation of Sportsmen's Clubs; Commissioners Paul Mahon, Howard Pflugfelder, and Richard Czop.

Staff members present included: Laurie Shepler, Tom Kamerzel, David Bumann, and Dan Martin.

II. Approval of the January 10, 2005 Meeting Minutes

The minutes of the last meeting were approved.

III. Committee Reports

Rex Beers and Steve Ketterer are on the Slow No Wake Workgroup. Mr. Ketterer commented that their workgroup has not had a chance to meet yet, but they are planning to meet after the boating season is over to discuss and compile the slow no wake information received this year.

IV. Old Business

The Board reviewed the following items that were published in a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking dated June 18, 2005 (Exhibit A).

- A. Amend Section 103.3 (a) to eliminate the general requirement to operate boats in a counterclockwise manner on all waters of the Commonwealth. The Commission received 14 comments concerning this proposal. 12 comments opposed the change and 2 supported the change. All comments centered on the effect the change would have on a particular waterway.**

Action:

Mr. Lentine commented that this is a safety issue primarily for smaller lakes. On bigger lakes, do we really need it? Commissioner Pflugfelder stated that he does not think this regulation is necessary on larger lakes and should only be considered on smaller lakes. Commissioner Czop agreed. Tom Kamerzel added that this law as written is unenforceable. He asked that the regulation either be made enforceable and then we try to inform the public of what we mean with counterclockwise on Lake Wallenpaupack or get rid of it.

Mr. Lentine asked if the Commissioners would look at considering the smaller lakes and keeping it where it's needed. Commissioner Czop said he would not have any problem in approving the regulation on smaller lakes on a case by case basis. Mr. Kamerzel said it's not an issue throughout most of the state, but some smaller lakes may still need it. He said this can be done through miscellaneous special regulations in Chapter 111, but make sure the regulation is worded so it is enforceable.

Mr. Ketterer made a motion that the Board recommend that the Commission delete Section 103.3 (a) as published in the notice of proposed rulemaking; Mr. Lentine seconded it, and all were in favor.

- B. Amendment to Chapter 111 (Special Regulations Counties) to modify regulations limiting the number of water skiers that can legally be towed behind boats on certain waters. Two comments were received supporting the proposal. Additional information was received from lake management personnel. It is compiled in a separate report (Exhibit B).**

Action:

Mr. Matheny discussed the comment from an individual with an autistic child requesting that more than one person be allowed on a tubing device so that the child could participate in this activity. Mr. Beers asked if this regulation can be split to have waterskiing and tubing as two different water activities. Mr. Simmons replied that the definition of a skier includes all those devices – tubing, para-sailing, water skis, wake boards, etc.

Mr. Matheny asked how ADA fits into this and do we need to make any adjustments for ADA requirements. Jason Oyler, Assistant Counsel, commented that nothing in the proposed regulations violates the Americans with Disabilities Act as there are other boating opportunities

nearby that allow them to boat. If there are safety issues, the Commission does not have to worry about violating any ADA requirements.

Commissioner Pflugfelder asked if everyone is familiar with the six lakes in the proposed regulation, and why were these lakes picked? Mr. Simmons responded that these lakes are the only six lakes in the regulations that currently have one water-skier restriction. None of the other lakes have any restrictions. Board members decided to discuss each of the six lakes and whether or not they should be restricted to one water-skier on a device. The following recommendations were made:

Crooked Creek (Armstrong County) – The Army Corps of Engineers owns this lake and the Commission has an MOU with the Corps and any regulations have to be coordinated with them. The Army Corps of Engineers does not want this regulation changed and in accordance with our MOU, the Commission should not make any changes. Dr. Austin moved that the Board recommend that the Commission modify Section 111.3 upon final adoption to read “a boat may tow no more than one water-skier on weekends and holidays from Saturday preceding Memorial Day through Labor day.” Mr. Ketterer seconded the motion and all were in favor.

Blue Marsh (Berks County) – This is Corps of Engineers lake. The Board considered comments from the Corps that indicated they do not want to see any major changes to the water skiing regulations. Dan Martin, Boating Safety Manager, commented that the current regulation states “No more than one water skier may be towed behind a boat.” The proposed rulemaking states, “A boat may tow more than one water-skiing device.” The Corps does not support this proposal and would like to see it changed to: “a boat may not tow more than one water skier.” Staff concurs. Mr. Simmons said the only difference is that Blue Marsh would be the 7/24 all year around applicability. Mr. Beers commented that he thinks we are taking away an opportunity for the youth to have fun on that lake. He would like to see the regulation changed to Crooked Creek wording and open it up for weekday use to enjoy tubing on the lake.

Mr. Kamerzel added that half the lake is slow no wake and concurs with the officers’ assessment in the unlimited section, but the slow no wake area could be opened up for multiple users on a device. Mr. Simmons reminded the Board that the Commission has an MOU with the Corps of Engineers and that we cannot create regulations without consulting and getting their approval. Steve Ketterer moved that the Board recommend that the Commission amend the regulation on final adoption to read, “A boat may not tow more than one water skier” Mr. Lentine seconded the motion, and all were in favor.

Beltzville Lake (Carbon County) – Pete Houghton of State Parks concurred with this proposed regulation and that it would allow more recreational opportunities for people; and the Park Manager sees no problem with multiple people on a ski device, but not multiple water-skiers and ropes. Mr. Houghton moved that the Board recommend that the Commission adopt the proposed amendment for Beltzville Lake as published in the notice of proposed rulemaking. Mr. Ketterer seconded the motion, and all were in favor.

Laurel Lake (Susquehanna County) – Mr. Simmons explained the three items under the proposed regulation changes. Mr. Ketterer moved that the Board recommend that the Commission adopt the amendment as published in the notice of proposed rulemaking. Mr. Lentine seconded the motion, and all were in favor.

Duck Harbor Pond (Wayne County) – After discussion, Mr. Ketterer moved that the Board recommend that the Commission adopt the amendment as published in the notice of proposed rulemaking. The motion was seconded by Mr. Lentine, and all were in favor.

Long Pond (Wayne County) – Current restrictions on this lake were discussed in that a boat may not tow more than one water ski device on weekends and holidays from the Saturday preceding Memorial Day through Labor Day. There are already time restrictions on Long Pond in that waterskiing is only permitted from 10:00 a.m. until 5:00 p.m. on weekends and holidays and 10:00 a.m. until sunset on weekdays other than holidays due to it being a trout stocked lake. This allows the lake to be used by multiple users. Mr. Ketterer made a motion that the Board recommend that the Commission adopt the amendment as published in the notice of proposed rulemaking. Mr. Lentine seconded the motion, and all were in favor.

Lake Winola (Wyoming County) – After a brief discussion, Mr. Ketterer made a motion that the Board recommend that the Commission adopt the amendment as published in the notice of proposed rulemaking. The motion was seconded by Mr. Lentine, and all were in favor.

VI. New Business

- A. Consideration of modifications to Section 95.3 (d) lights for rowing and paddle boats. The current regulations require the display of an all around white light from any unpowered boat operating after sundown or during periods of reduced visibility in order to avoid a collision. Should this regulation be modified to allow the use of a unidirectional flashlight or lantern?**

After a lengthy discussion by Board members, Commissioner Mahon commented that paddle craft should be handled the same way as personal watercraft, if we want safety. Mr. Simmons commented that the regulation already requires an all-around white light, the question is should the regulations allow a flashlight? If a flashlight is acceptable, then no change in the regulation is needed. Mr. Kamerzel said it's confusing, what is a handheld? Mr. Ketterer commented that after discussion, some members thought a light should be displayed all the time, not when just anchored. Mr. Simmons thanked the Board members for a good discussion and indicated that staff will further research the regulation and perhaps bring it back the Board at a future meeting.

VII. Discussion Items

A. Summary of Boating Accident Reports to date.

Dan Martin provided a summary of boating accidents, and he added that averages of 12 fatalities a year have been occurring. Some accidents have been really unique. The Boating Safety Program would have had a hard time addressing these types of accidents. Because of low water, a lot of accidents this year involved lower units hitting, and property damage will be higher this year.

B. Role of the Boating Advisory Board in the Boating Facility Grant Program.

The Commission approved the Boating Facility Grant Program last January and it was immediately announced and 30 applications were received. After an internal staff examination, grant applications were presented to the Commission and the Commissioners authorized the Executive Director to issue grants under \$100,000 of which 14 qualified. Another seven were taken before the Commission for their approval at the April Commission meeting. Contracts were mailed and are now in the process of being returned signed. Twenty-two contracts were mailed, but only eight have been signed and returned. Eight applicants did not qualify and were encouraged to apply again. A new employee, Scott Bollinger, has been assigned to work with all these grant applicants as the Bureau of Boating and Education's new Grant Coordinator. Mr. Bollinger was introduced to the Board.

Mr. Simmons commented that it might be difficult for all the money to be used in a short timeframe which will leave some money left over this year. Money can be rolled or contracts extended to next year, but everything would come out of the budget next year. Dr. Austin added there are a couple of things that need to be worked out on the program on the financial side; for example, partner programs have two or three year cycles for expenditure of those dollars. Mr. Simmons is working on items like this to improve our ability on leveraging other dollars and working with the funds that will make it more manageable. Dr. Austin said the money rolls over, but it comes out of the next year's budget, unless we project it and add more money to next year's budget. Someone receiving a grant from DCNR has three years to spend it and they

only have one year to spend ours. Dr. Austin said the Commission needs to work on getting this in sync, and that it would be nice to have the ability to spend this money over a longer period of time. This needs to be worked out with the Comptroller's Office and Budget Office.

Mr. Beers asked if Board members could be contacted concerning grant projects in their area. Mr. Simmons commented that there are specific point values in evaluating these grant applications. Members can have input, but decisions are based on the point value system and this is what the Comptroller's Office wanted instead of subjective input. Money is not disbursed until the project is completed and our engineers have inspected it.

Mr. Matheny asked if applicants can get the money for the planning and then the next year get the money for the project. Mr. Simmons said as we get more familiar with the program that is what he envisions, that applicants would come in with a multi-year applications with three-year projects such as Phase I being design and engineering; Phase II for building the facilities; and Phase III for building the docks. Mr. Simmons added that his staff isn't there yet to be able to go out three years. Timeframes were short this year; so hopefully the third go around we will be able to do that.

Most applicants that participated this year never came in, called, or touched base with the Commission before submitting their applications. We weren't able to give them any hints or suggestions. Currently, Mr. Bollinger has been working with 13 applicants for the next round of funding and they are calling us to ask how they can make their applications better. Also, staff is working on continuing to improve the point values for evaluation.

Mr. Simmons concluded discussion on this item by adding that Board members have internal knowledge of what's going on in their areas. Staff does not always need Board input because some projects aren't large or too involved, but staff could use help with areas like Philadelphia where inside knowledge of what is going on would be beneficial. We sometimes come across situations where township and municipalities are not providing complete stories. Dr. Austin said we could also use their input on a new stateside boating access plan that will provide a plan on what areas we need access; and we could use their help in identifying places that we need to build or expand existing accesses. Staff will be looking for input on this process.

C. Relationship between the Board and the Commission – What do each expect?

Mr. Lentine commented that his job is to represent the Commonwealth mainly through the Governor to advise the Commissioners on boating activities. Mr. Matheny added that he finds value in attending the Commission Meetings so that you really know what's going on regarding boating and fishing. He added that all Board members should try to attend so that they are aware of issues for the Boating Advisory Board meetings. Mr. Matheny said the Board is here to represent the Commonwealth, not any particular district. Mr. Simmons commented that he thinks it's important that all Board members individually take their information to the Commissioners so that they can make their ultimate decision on any particular regulation. Commissioner Mahon said that the Board is invaluable and does a good job. We have a good system and he relies on them. Mr. Matheny appreciates getting material sent to him ahead of time to review before meetings. Mr. Beers agreed.

D. Review of the Boating Advisory Board Handbook.

After Board members review the old Boating Advisory Board Handbook, they were asked to email Mr. Simmons with any question or comments. Mr. Simmons added that the Handbook needs updated and he will compile comments and have a redraft for the next meeting.

E. New Signs.

This is a new responsibility for Scott Bollinger to coordinate the Access Area Sign Program. Mr. Bollinger met with the maintenance staff and discussed policies of placing signs. He displayed one of the new signs and said that 30 x 60 is the standard size and they are one-sided only. There are large sizes available. The new signs are highly visible and they are anti-graffiti. Mr. Simmons added that this is a multiple-phase project. The first phase being the replacement of wooden signs at our access areas; the second phase is placing signs using the universal symbol for boat launch to let people know where the launch sites are; and the third phase would be to develop directional signs at major road intersections saying that this access is 10 miles or 5 miles this way. These signs will eventually also be used for hatcheries and law enforcement offices as well. Engineers are redeveloping 13 accesses this year. This will provide a good opportunity to start replacing these signs.

No Public comments were received and the meeting was adjourned.

Exhibit A

PROPOSED RULEMAKING

[58 PA. CODE CHS. 103 AND 111]

Boating

IV. [35 Pa.B. 3423]

The Fish and Boat Commission (Commission) proposes to amend Chapters 103 and 111 (relating to rules of the road; and special regulations counties). The Commission is publishing this proposed rulemaking under the authority of 30 Pa.C.S. (relating to the Fish and Boat Code) (code). The proposed amendments remove the requirement that all boat traffic operate in a counterclockwise fashion and limit the number of water ski devices on certain Commonwealth lakes.

A. *Effective Date*

The proposed amendments, if approved on final-form, will go into effect on January 1, 2006.

B. *Contact Person*

For further information on the proposed rulemaking, contact Jason E. Oyler, Esq., P. O. Box 67000, Harrisburg, PA 17106-7000, (717) 705-7827. This proposal is available electronically through the Commission's website at www.fish.state.pa.us.

C. *Statutory Authority*

The proposed amendment to § 103.3 (relating to restriction for special areas) is published under the statutory authority of section 5123 of the code (relating to general boating regulations). The proposed amendments to §§ 111.3, 111.6, 111.13, 111.58, 111.64 and 111.66 are published under the statutory authority of sections 322 and 5124 of the code (relating to rules and regulations; and particular areas of water).

D. *Purpose and Background*

The proposed rulemaking is designed to improve and update the Commission's boating regulations to enhance the recreational boating experience. The specific purpose of the proposed amendments is described in more detail under the summary of proposals. The Commission's Boating Advisory Board (BAB) considered the proposed changes and recommended that the Commission publish a notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed amendments.

E. *Summary of Proposals*

(1) *Section 103.3.* This section, which requires motorboats to operate in a counterclockwise fashion insofar as reasonably possible, has been in place for many years and was promulgated in an attempt to regulate boating traffic patterns on our inland waters. While the regulation has been successful in establishing an operational pattern, its language is ambiguous in that it allows for operation "insofar as

reasonably possible." This caveat was included to allow deviation from the rule in some (or most) circumstances. It establishes an operational pattern but makes strict enforcement problematic.

The regulation presents several issues or questions for enforcement purposes. For example, if someone wants to go directly across a lake, rather than follow the shoreline in a counterclockwise direction, is that legal? On some lakes, counterclockwise operation is impossible because of obstructions. On large lakes, strict compliance with the regulation forces boaters to travel miles out of their way. If every boat were to operate under this counterclockwise operation rule, other rules concerning crossing situations and head-on passing would be unnecessary since no one would ever cross or meet another boat head on. The Navigation Rules are in place to enhance safety in crossing and passing situations.

A survey of other states' boating regulatory agencies reveals that they do not believe this type of regulation should be universally applied as it is here in this Commonwealth. If they have a similar regulation at all, it is only for specific waterways or for water skiing in specific areas.

The Navigation Rules in the Inland Steering and Sailing Rules, Rule 9, Narrow Channels state (in part): "(a)(i) A vessel proceeding along the course of a narrow channel or fairway shall keep as near to the outer limit of the channel or fairway which lies on her starboard side as is safe and practicable." The Rules are silent concerning the operation of boats in other than narrow channels. The Rules assume that the rules with regard to crossing, overtaking and passing are sufficient to control operation on these waters.

Accordingly, the Commission proposes that § 103.3(a) be deleted as shown in Annex A.

(2) *Sections 111.3, 111.6, 111.13, 111.58, 111.64 and 111.66.* The BAB requested a review of the existing water skiing regulations that limit the number of water skiers or water skiing devices that may be towed behind a boat to identify those special regulations targeting single skier restrictions and recommend changes to these regulations to improve recreational opportunities. The original intention of most of these special regulations was to limit the number of water ski ropes rather than the number of persons on the skis. (In some cases, such as at Blue Marsh Lake, there was an intention to limit the number of persons.) A review of the regulations revealed inconsistency in language across the regulations that should be corrected. Additionally, since towed inflatables are regulated the same as water skis, the number of persons that can be towed on an inflatable is also limited to one. This creates problems for people whose device is manufactured to carry multiple persons and who wish to ride on the inflatable with younger children.

The Commission finds little justification in not allowing more than one skier if the number of water ski devices is limited. Also, since these regulations were put in place for safety on crowded lakes, the current restrictions do not seem appropriate for nonweekend and nonholidays or during the early or late season for most waterways. The Commission recommends that where the number of skiers needs to be restricted because of safety concerns, the number of tow lines should be restricted rather than the number of persons on the ski device. The Commission also recommends that the restriction be placed only on weekends and holidays during the primary boating season.

Accordingly, the Commission proposes to amend these various sections to read as set forth in Annex A.

F. *Paperwork*

The proposed rulemaking will not increase paperwork and will create no new paperwork requirements.

G. *Fiscal Impact*

The proposed rulemaking will have no adverse fiscal impact on the Commonwealth or its political subdivisions. The proposed rulemaking will impose no new costs on the private sector or the general public.

H. *Public Comments*

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, objections or suggestions about the proposed rulemaking to the Executive Director, Fish and Boat Commission, P. O. Box 67000, Harrisburg, PA 17106-7000, within 30 days after publication of this notice in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*. Comments submitted by facsimile will not be accepted.

Comments also may be submitted electronically by completing the form at www.state.pa.us/Fish/regcomments. If an acknowledgment of electronic comments is not received by the sender within 2 working days, the comments should be retransmitted to ensure receipt.

DOUGLAS J. AUSTEN, Ph.D.,
Executive Director

Fiscal Note: 48A-172. No fiscal impact; (8) recommends adoption.

Annex A

TITLE 58. RECREATION

PART II. FISH AND BOAT COMMISSION

Subpart C. BOATING

CHAPTER 103. RULES OF THE ROAD

§ 103.3. Restriction for special areas.

(a) [To provide for an orderly flow of boat traffic, motorboats shall operate in a counterclockwise fashion insofar as reasonably possible on all waters of this Commonwealth.

(b)] * * *

[(c)] (b) * * *

[(d)] (c) * * *

CHAPTER 111. SPECIAL REGULATIONS COUNTIES

§ 111.3. Armstrong County.

(a) *Crooked Creek Lake.*

(1) [A boat may not tow more than one water skier.] A boat may not tow more than one water ski device on weekends and holidays from the Saturday preceding Memorial Day through Labor Day.

* * * * *

§ 111.6. Berks County.

(a) *Blue Marsh Lake.* [The following special regulations apply to Blue Marsh Lake:]

* * * * *

(3) [No more than one water skier may be towed behind a boat.] A boat may not tow more than one water ski device.

* * * * *

§ 111.13. Carbon County.

(a) *Beltzville Lake--Beltzville State Park.* [The following regulations apply to Beltzville Lake:]

* * *

(2) Waterskiing is prohibited except between sunrise and sunset in the designated ski zone. Boats in this zoned area shall operate in a counter-clockwise direction. Boats not engaged in waterskiing shall remain outside the ski zone area while waterskiing is in progress. [On weekdays, it is unlawful for a boat to tow more than two water-skiers at a time. On weekends--Saturdays and Sundays--and Federal holidays, it is unlawful for a boat to tow more than one water-skier at a time.] A boat may not tow more than one water ski device on weekends and holidays from the Saturday preceding Memorial Day through Labor Day.

* * * * *

§ 111.58. Susquehanna County.

* * * * *

(d) *Laurel Lake.*

(1) *Internal combustion motors are prohibited.* [After April 1, 1997, the operation of boats powered by internal combustion motors is prohibited] Internal combustion motors are prohibited except as otherwise provided in this subsection.

* * *

(3) *Restrictions on operation of boats powered by internal combustion motors.* Operation of boats powered by internal combustion motors is subject to the following restrictions:

(i) *Ski devices.* [No more than one water ski device with a maximum of one skier may be towed by a boat.] A boat may not tow more than one water ski device.

* * * * *

§ 111.64. *Wayne County.*

* * * * *

(b) *Duck Harbor Pond.*

(1) [A boat may tow only one water skier at a time.] A boat may not tow more than one water ski device on weekends and holidays from the Saturday preceding Memorial Day through Labor Day.

* * * * *

(c) *Long Pond.*

* * * * *

(2) [The operation of boats using more than one water ski tow rope or towing more than two skiers is prohibited.] A boat may not tow more than one water ski device on weekends and holidays from the Saturday preceding Memorial Day through Labor Day.

* * * * *

§ 111.66. *Wyoming County.*

* * * * *

(b) *Lake Winola.*

(1) [No more than two waterskiers may be towed behind a boat.] A boat may not tow more than one water ski device on weekends and holidays from the Saturday preceding Memorial Day through Labor Day.

* * * * *

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 05-1175. Filed for public inspection June 17, 2005, 9:00 a.m.]

No part of the information on this site may be reproduced for profit or sold for profit.

This material has been drawn directly from the official *Pennsylvania Bulletin* full text database. Due to the limitations of HTML or differences in display capabilities of different browsers, this version may differ slightly from the official printed version.

Exhibit B

Summary of Comments to Proposed Rulemaking

A. Proposal to eliminate Section 103.3 (a) which currently requires all boat to operate in counterclockwise fashion.

1. Richard Caracciola (owner of marina at Prince Gallitzen State Park) supports proposed rulemaking.
2. Timothy Mock supports proposed rulemaking.
3. Chrystal Kravits (property owner on Harveys Lake) opposed to proposed rulemaking.
4. Edward Jenkins (Sweet Valley) opposed to proposed rulemaking.
5. Joseph Sheruda (President of Lake Silkworth Protective Association) opposed to proposed rulemaking.
6. Raymond Hillman (Hunlock Creek) opposed to proposed rulemaking.
7. Herb Woodeshick (Hunlock Creek) opposed to proposed rulemaking.
8. Gary Conrad (Dallas) opposed to proposed rulemaking.
9. Barry Rosen (Commodore- Harveys Lake Yacht Club) opposed to proposed rulemaking.
10. Bill Schechter (Dallas) opposed to proposed rulemaking.
11. Dawn Shedlarski (Forty Fort) opposed to proposed rulemaking.
12. Eric Lamoreaux (Lake Management Coordinator- Shickshinny Lake Association) opposed to proposed rulemaking.
13. Barry Mitchel (Harveys Lake) opposed to proposed rulemaking.
14. Charles Ahto (Greentown) opposed to proposed rulemaking.

B. Proposal to amend Chapter 111 (Special Regulations Counties) to modify regulations limiting the number of water skiers that can legally be towed behind boats on certain waters.

§111.3 Armstrong County

(a) Crooked Creek Lake.

Existing Regulation: (1) A boat may tow no more than one water skier.

Proposed Rulemaking: (1) A boat may not tow more than one water ski device on weekends and holidays from the Saturday preceding Memorial Day through Labor Day.

Comments:

1. Melissa Salsgiver, COE Resource Manager – Change regulation to read: “A boat may tow no more than one water skier on weekends and holidays from the Saturday preceding Memorial Day through Labor Day.”

§111.6 Berks County

(b) Blue Marsh Lake.

Existing Regulation: (3) No more than one water skier may be towed behind a boat.

Proposed Rulemaking: (3) A boat may not tow more than one water ski device.

Comments:

1. Alfred Showenbeck, COE Resource Manager – Wants no change other than restate regulation to read: “A boat may tow no more than one water *skier*.”