PENNSYLVANIA FISH AND BOAT COMMISSION

Pennsylvania Water Rescue Program

INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION



**Send or email to:**

PA Fish & Boat Commission

Attn: Water Rescue Program

PO Box 67000

Harrisburg, PA 17106

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Date of Course | |  | | | |
| Type of Course | |  | | | |
| Location | |  | | | |
| PRINTED AND AUDIO VISUAL MATERIALS | | | Strongly  Agree | Agree | No  Opinion | Disagree | StronglyDisagree |
| Student workbooks were provided and beneficial | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Course record sheet and class roster completed | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| LEARNING ENVIRONMENT | | | Strongly  Agree | Agree | No  Opinion | Disagree | StronglyDisagree |
| Course expectations, training requirements, safety, and objectives were made clear at the beginning of the course | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Classroom was comfortable | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adequate equipment was provided for skills practice | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| INSTRUCTOR(S) | | | Strongly  Agree | Agree | No  Opinion | Disagree | StronglyDisagree |
| Followed PFBC Water Rescue Program Guidelines | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Presented professional appearance and was enthusiastic | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well prepared, knowledgeable, and organized | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engaged students, encouraged participation, and answered questions | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managed a positive learning environment that encouraged students to express ideas, opinions, and concerns both in the classroom and during the practical sessions. | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Used multiple teaching techniques to promote student learning (demonstrations, simulations, hands-on, q/a) | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| RISK MANAGEMENT | | | Strongly  Agree | Agree | No  Opinion | Disagree | StronglyDisagree |
| Waiver and Release from Liability, Assumption of Risk, and Emergency Contact and Consent forms were provided at the beginning of the course, read by the instructor, and collected | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students were assigned PAR numbers | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental briefings occurred at the pool and moving water practical sites that identified risks and hazards, rules, and procedures to follow in the event of an incident during training | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moving water site was challenging and provided the opportunity to improve water rescue skill proficiency | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instructor(s) consistently managed, communicated, and provided appropriate safeguards to ensure safety of students throughout the entire course | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| OVERALL COURSE | | | Strongly  Agree | Agree | No  Opinion | Disagree | StronglyDisagree |
| Moving water location was appropriate for the course | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pool session was used efficiently | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time management was efficient | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instructor(s) worked well together | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instructor(s) contributed to my knowledge and skills | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| I achieved the specific course objectives | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Would recommend course to others | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| NAME OF INSTRUCTOR(S) | Quality of Instruction (1) Lowest (5) Highest | | | COMMENTS | | | |
|  | **1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5**  **Poor Outstanding** | | |  | | | |
|  | **1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5**  **Poor Outstanding** | | |  | | | |
|  | **1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5**  **Poor Outstanding** | | |  | | | |
|  | **1 – 2 – 3 – 4 – 5**  **Poor Outstanding** | | |  | | | |

|  |
| --- |
| What do you believe has been done especially well in the teaching of this course? Please describe: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| What do you believe could be done to improve the course? Please describe: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| Additional comments: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
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